

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/689,215	10/20/2003	Mark J. Spath	89190.115003/DP-311086	4084	
22851	7590 06/03/2004		EXAMINER		
DELPHI TEC	CHNOLOGIES, INC.		ESHETE, Z	ELALEM	
M/C 480-410- PO BOX 5052			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TROY, MI 4			3748	3748	

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		\setminus			
	10/689,215	SPATH ET AL.	200	X			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	11/16	Y			
	Zelalem Eshete	3748	1 4				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the cov r sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess -				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comr D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			nerits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the $\mathfrak l$	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document:	s have been received						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No.					
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior			tage				
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.					
Attachment(s)	.□ <u>.</u>	(DTO 443)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F		52)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Church (6,196,175).

Church discloses a valve-deactivating hydraulic lifter for selectively coupling the rotary motion of a cam lobe to the reciprocal motion of a valve pushrod in an internal combustion engine (see figure 1), comprising: a) a lifter body having means for following an eccentric surface of said cam lobe and having a first axial bore and having a groove formed in a wall of said first axial bore, said groove being in communication with an oil gallery in said engine (see figure 2), b) a pin housing slidably disposed in said first axial bore and having at least one transverse bore and having a second axial bore (see figure 3; numerals 61,93); c) at least one locking pin slidably disposed in said at least one transverse bore said at least one locking pin having an outer end for selectively engaging said groove to lock said pin housing to said lifter body (see numeral 99); and d) a clocking mechanism for limiting relative rotation between said pin housing and said lifter body (see numeral 101).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/689,215

Art Unit: 3748

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Church.

Regarding claims 1,7,9: Church discloses a valve-deactivating hydraulic lifter for selectively coupling the rotary motion of a cam lobe to the reciprocal motion of a valve pushrod in an internal combustion engine, wherein oil is retained in the lifter during periods of engine shutdown (see figure 1), comprising: a) a lifter body having means for following an eccentric surface of said cam lobe and having a first axial bore and having a groove formed in a wall of said first axial bore, said groove being in communication with an oil gallery in said engine (see figures 1,2), b) a pin housing slidably disposed in said first axial bore and having a transverse bore (see figure 3; numerals 61,93) c) a locking pin slidably disposed in said transverse bore and each having an outer end for selectively engaging said groove to lock said pin housing to said lifter body (see numeral 99); and d) a clocking mechanism for limiting relative rotation between said pin

Application/Control Humber

Art Unit: 3748

housing and said lifter body (see numeral 101). Church also discloses the lifter installed in the engine at an angle (greater than zero) from vertical (see figure 1).

Church discloses the claimed invention except for a pair of locking pins. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to duplicate the locking pin into a pair of locking pins, since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.

Regarding claims 2,8: Church discloses a hydraulic lash adjustment mechanism disposed in a second axial bore of said pin housing and including a seat for receiving an end of said pushrod, wherein said hydraulic lash adjustment mechanism includes a chamber for holding oil, and wherein said pin housing includes an oil supply port in communication with said chamber (see figure 2), and wherein said clocking mechanism causes said oil supply port to be facing upwards when said lifter is installed in said internal combustion engine at an angle greater than zero degrees from vertical (see figure 1).

Regarding claim 3: Church discloses the clocking mechanism comprises: a) a recess formed in one of said pin housing and said lifter body (see figure 2); b) a longitudinal groove formed in the other of said pin housing and said lifter body (see figure 1; numerals 103,105); and c) a locking element disposed in said recess and said groove and extending there between (see numeral 101).

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 5: Church discloses the clocking mechanism as an integral part of the locking pins and further discloses the clocking mechanism comprises a port formed through a wall of said lifter body and a locking element disposed in said port (see figure 2).

Church discloses the claimed invention except for failing to disclose the clocking mechanism separate from the locking pin and thus fails to show a flat formed on the outer surface of the pin housing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to separately form the clocking/locking device, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.*

Regarding claim 6: Church discloses the locking element is selected from the group consisting of a pin and a spring clip (see figure 2).

3. Claims 1-3,5-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Church in view of admitted prior art.

Regarding claims 1,7,9: Church discloses a valve-deactivating hydraulic lifter for selectively coupling the rotary motion of a cam lobe to the reciprocal motion of a valve pushrod in an internal combustion engine, wherein oil is retained in the lifter during periods of engine shutdown (see figure 1), comprising: a) a lifter body having means for

following an eccentric surface of said cam lobe and having a first axial bore and having a groove formed in a wall of said first axial bore, said groove being in communication with an oil gallery in said engine (see figures 1,2), b) a pin housing slidably disposed in said first axial bore and having a transverse bore (see figure 3; numerals 61,93) c) a locking pin slidably disposed in said transverse bore and each having an outer end for selectively engaging said groove to lock said pin housing to said lifter body (see numeral 99); and d) a clocking mechanism for limiting relative rotation between said pin housing and said lifter body (see numeral 101). Church also discloses the lifter installed in the engine at an angle (greater than zero) from vertical (see figure 1).

Page 6

Church fails to disclose more than one (a pair) locking pin.

The prior art teaches a pair of locking pins (see figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Church's system by providing a pair of locking pins as taught by the prior art in order to balance the engagements.

Regarding claims 2,8: Church discloses a hydraulic lash adjustment mechanism disposed in a second axial bore of said pin housing and including a seat for receiving an end of said pushrod, wherein said hydraulic lash adjustment mechanism includes a chamber for holding oil, and wherein said pin housing includes an oil supply port in communication with said chamber (see figure 2), and wherein said clocking mechanism causes said oil supply port to be facing upwards when said lifter is installed in said

internal combustion engine at an angle greater than zero degrees from vertical (see figure 1).

Page 7

Regarding claim 3: Church discloses the clocking mechanism comprises: a) a recess formed in one of said pin housing and said lifter body (see figure 2); b) a longitudinal groove formed in the other of said pin housing and said lifter body (see figure 1; numerals 103,105); and c) a locking element disposed in said recess and said groove and extending there between (see numeral 101).

Regarding claim 5: Church discloses the clocking mechanism as an integral part of the locking pins and further discloses the clocking mechanism comprises a port formed through a wall of said lifter body and a locking element disposed in said port (see figure 2).

Church discloses the claimed invention except for failing to disclose the clocking mechanism separate from the locking pin and thus fails to show a flat formed on the outer surface of the pin housing. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to separately form the clocking/locking device, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

Regarding claim 6: Church discloses the locking element is selected from the group consisting of a pin and a spring clip (see figure 2).

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Church in view of Voigt (5,544,628).

Church discloses the claimed invention as recited above and further discloses the locking element is a piston (see figure 2).

Church fails to disclose the locking element is a ball.

However, Voigt teaches a ball is an equivalent locking element to that of a piston (see column 2, lines 20 to 25).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Church's system by providing a ball as an equivalent locking element as taught by Voigt in order to use various equivalent means of locking elements.

5. Claims 10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Church in view of Connell (4,739,675).

Church discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the engine is a slant mount engine or a V-style engine.

Connell discloses valve lifter for V-style (slant mount) engine (see figure 1).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the valve lifter of Church in that of V-type engines as

__ ._

Art Unit: 3748

taught by Connell in order to apply the valve lifter to various engine types.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Church in view of prior admitted prior art as applied to claim 3 above; and further in view of Voigt (5,544,628).

Church in view of admitted prior art discloses the claimed invention as recited above and further discloses the locking element is a piston (see figure 2).

Church in view of admitted prior art fails to disclose the locking element is a ball.

However, Voigt teaches a ball is an equivalent locking element to that of a piston (see column 2, lines 20 to 25).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Church in view of admitted prior art's system by providing a ball as an equivalent locking element as taught by Voigt in order to use various equivalent means of locking elements.

7. Claims 10,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Church in view of admitted prior art as applied to claim 8 above; and further in view of Connell (4,739,675).

Church in view of admitted prior art discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the engine is a slant mount engine or a V-style engine.

Connell discloses valve lifter for V-style (slant mount) engine (see figure 1).

Application/Control Number: 10/689,215 Page 10

Art Unit: 3748

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the valve lifter of Church in view of admitted prior art in that of V-type engines as taught by Connell in order to apply the valve lifter to various engine types.

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Haas et al. (US2002/0038642).

Admitted prior art discloses a valve-deactivating hydraulic lifter for selectively coupling the rotary motion of a cam lobe to the reciprocal motion of a valve pushrod in an internal combustion engine, wherein oil is retained in the lifter during periods of engine shutdown (see figures 1,2), comprising: a) a lifter body having means for following an eccentric surface of said cam lobe and having a first axial bore and having a groove formed in a wall of said first axial bore said groove being in communication with an oil gallery in said engine (see numeral 12), b) a pin housing slidably disposed in said first axial bore and having a transverse bore (see numeral 18); c) a pair of opposed locking pins slidably disposed in said transverse bore and each having an outer end for selectively engaging said groove to lock said pin housing to said lifter body (see numeral 54).

Prior art fails to disclose a clocking mechanism for limiting relative rotation between said pin housing and said lifter body.

Art Unit: 3748

However, Haas teach a "clocking mechanism" for limiting the relative rotation between the inner housing and outer housing body in order to assure a circumferential positional correspondence between bores (see page 2, paragraph 0015).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of the prior art by providing a anti-rotational "clocking mechanism" as taught by Haas in order to assure a circumferential positional correspondence between oil grooves.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (703) 306-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3748

10/003,213

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zelalem Eshete Examiner Art Unit 3748

Ζ

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700